# The Search for Inflationary B-modes with the BICEP/Keck Telescopes

Clem Pryke for the BICEP/Keck Collaboration – Beyond 2019 Conference Warsaw – July 4 2019



# **Motivation/Background**

- ➤The Universe is expanding/cooling in the past it was hotter/denser
- The cosmic microwave background (CMB) comes to us from "last scattering" when the universe made the plasma to neutral gas transition – during the plasma phase the physics was simple.
- Using the CMB and other data the LCDM cosmological paradigm has been developed – it works great and allows us to understand the development of the universe all the way back to a high energy state.
- However, LCDM leaves many unanswered questions such as the "horizon problem" and how the empirically simple conditions at the start of the plasma phase were set up.
- ➤Theory of "Inflation" added on the beginning of LCDM to explain.
- ➢ If it happened Inflation will have made a background of gravitational waves which will have imprinted a B-mode (curl) into the polarization pattern of the CMB.
- ➤We may be able to detect these if we can make a sensitive enough telescope – a wide range of inflation models exist – the simplest are already ruled out – more complex ones can produce *r* which is undetectably small...

# **CMB** power spectra



# **CMB** power spectra



# **BICEP/Keck Basic Experimental Strategy**

 $\rightarrow$  Small aperture telescopes (cheap, fast, low systematics)  $\rightarrow$  Target the 2 degree peak of the PGW B-mode

 $\rightarrow$  Integrate continuously from South Pole

 $\rightarrow$  Observe 1% patch of sky (smaller is actually better!)

 $\rightarrow$  Scan and pair difference modulation

# Foreground emission from our galaxy



The interstellar space within our galaxy contains cold dust grains which glow thermally in microwaves, and relativistic electrons which emit synchrotron radiation

# Foreground emission from our galaxy



When CMB people talk about "foregrounds" it is analogous to what HEP people call "backgrounds" – something which gets in the way of the thing one is trying to measure.

# **Overcoming Polarized Foreground Contamination**



Clem Pryke for The Bicep2 Collaboration

# **Overcoming Polarized Foreground Contamination**



# BICEP/Keck Experimental Concept





# Why do this at the Pole?

## **South Pole CMB telescopes**



- High and *dry* see out into space
- On Earth's rotational axis One day/night cycle per year
  - Long night makes for great quality data
- Good support infrastructure power, cargo, data comm
- Food and accommodation provided
- Even Tuesday night bingo...



Clem Pryke for The Bicep2 Collaboration



# **BK15 Results Came Out Last Fall**

BICEP2 / Keck Array X: Constraints on Primordial Gravitational Waves using Planck, WMAP, and New BICEP2/Keck Observations through the 2015 Season

Keck Array and BICEP2 Collaborations: P. A. R. Ade,<sup>1</sup> Z. Ahmed,<sup>2</sup> R. W. Aikin,<sup>3</sup> K. D. Alexander,<sup>4</sup> D. Barkats,<sup>4</sup> S. J. Benton,<sup>5</sup> C. A. Bischoff,<sup>6</sup> J. J. Bock,<sup>3,7</sup> R. Bowens-Rubin,<sup>4</sup> J. A. Brevik,<sup>3</sup> I. Buder,<sup>4</sup> E. Bullock,<sup>8</sup> V. Buza,<sup>4,9</sup> J. Connors,<sup>4</sup> J. Cornelison,<sup>4</sup> B. P. Crill,<sup>7</sup> M. Crumrine,<sup>10</sup> M. Dierickx,<sup>4</sup> L. Duband,<sup>11</sup> C. Dvorkin,<sup>9</sup> J. P. Filippini,<sup>12,13</sup> S. Fliescher,<sup>10</sup> J. Grayson,<sup>14</sup> G. Hall,<sup>10</sup> M. Halpern,<sup>15</sup> S. Harrison,<sup>4</sup> S. R. Hildebrandt, 3,7 G. C. Hilton, 16 H. Hui, 3 K. D. Irwin, 14, 2, 16 J. Kang, 14 K. S. Karkare, 4, 17 E. Karpel,<sup>14</sup> J. P. Kaufman,<sup>18</sup> B. G. Keating,<sup>18</sup> S. Kefeli,<sup>3</sup> S. A. Kernasovskiv,<sup>14</sup> J. M. Kovac,<sup>4,9</sup> C. L. Kuo,<sup>14,2</sup> N. A. Larsen,<sup>17</sup> K. Lau,<sup>10</sup> E. M. Leitch,<sup>17</sup> M. Lueker,<sup>3</sup> K. G. Megerian,<sup>7</sup> L. Moncelsi,<sup>3</sup> T. Namikawa,<sup>19</sup> C. B. Netterfield,<sup>20,21</sup> H. T. Nguyen,<sup>7</sup> R. O'Brient,<sup>3,7</sup> R. W. Ogburn IV,<sup>14,2</sup> S. Palladino,<sup>6</sup> C. Pryke,<sup>10,8,\*</sup> B. Racine,<sup>4</sup> S. Richter,<sup>4</sup> A. Schillaci,<sup>3</sup> R. Schwarz,<sup>10</sup> C. D. Sheehy,<sup>22</sup> A. Soliman,<sup>3</sup> T. St. Germaine,<sup>4</sup> Z. K. Staniszewski,<sup>3,7</sup> B. Steinbach,<sup>3</sup> R. V. Sudiwala,<sup>1</sup> G. P. Teply,<sup>3,18</sup> K. L. Thompson,<sup>14,2</sup> J. E. Tolan,<sup>14</sup> C. Tucker,<sup>1</sup> A. D. Turner,<sup>7</sup> C. Umiltà,<sup>6</sup> A. G. Vieregg,<sup>23,17</sup> A. Wandui,<sup>3</sup> A. C. Weber,<sup>7</sup> D. V. Wiebe,<sup>15</sup> J. Willmert,<sup>10</sup> C. L. Wong,<sup>4,9</sup> W. L. K. Wu,<sup>17</sup> H. Yang,<sup>14</sup> K. W. Yoon,<sup>14,2</sup> and C. Zhang<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>School of Physics and Astronomy, Cardiff University, Cardiff, CF24 3AA, United Kingdom <sup>2</sup>Kavli Institute for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, 2575 Sand Hill Rd, Menlo Park, California 94025, USA <sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, USA <sup>4</sup>Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street MS 42, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA <sup>5</sup>Department of Physics, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA <sup>6</sup>Department of Physics, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio 45221, USA <sup>7</sup>Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, California 91109, USA <sup>8</sup>Minnesota Institute for Astrophysics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, USA <sup>9</sup>Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA <sup>10</sup>School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, USA <sup>11</sup>Service des Basses Températures, Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique, 38054 Grenoble, France <sup>12</sup>Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA <sup>13</sup>Department of Astronomy, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA <sup>14</sup>Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, California 91305, USA. <sup>15</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6T 1Z1, Canada <sup>16</sup>National Institute of Standards and Technology, Boulder, Colorado 80305, USA <sup>17</sup>Kavli Institute for Cosmological Physics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA <sup>18</sup>Department of Physics, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, USA <sup>19</sup>Leung Center for Cosmology and Particle Astrophysics, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan <sup>20</sup>Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, M5S 1A7, Canada <sup>21</sup>Canadian Institute for Advanced Research, Toronto, Ontario, M5G 128, Canada <sup>22</sup>Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973 <sup>23</sup>Department of Physics, Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA (Draft As accepted by PRL)

We present results from an analysis of all data taken by the BICEP2/Keck CMB polarization experiments up to and including the 2015 observing season. This includes the first Keck Array observations at 220 GHz and additional observations at 95 & 150 GHz. The Q/U maps reach depths of 5.2, 2.9 and 26  $\mu$ K<sub>cm</sub> arcmin at 95, 150 and 220 GHz respectively over an effective area of  $\approx$  400 square degrees. The 220 GHz maps achieve a signal-to-noise on polarized dust emission approximately equal to that of Planck at 353 GHz. We take auto- and cross-spectra between these maps and publicly available WMAP and Planck maps at frequencies from 23 to 353 GHz. We evaluate the joint likelihood of the spectra versus a multicomponent model of lensed-ACDM+r+dust+synchrotron+noise. The foreground model has seven parameters, and we impose priors on some of these using external information from Planck and WMAP derived from larger regions of sky. The model is shown to be an adequate description of the data at the current noise levels. The likelihood analysis yields the constrain  $\tau_{0.05} < 0.07$  at 95% confidence, which tightens to  $\tau_{0.05} < 0.06$  in conjunction with Planck temperature measurements and other data. The lensing signal is detected at 8.8 $\sigma$  significance. Running maximum likelihood search on simulations we obtain unbiased results and find that  $\sigma(r) = 0.020$ . These are the strongest constraints to date on primordial gravitational waves.

### arxiv/1810.05216

BK15 = includes all data taken up to, and including, 2015 season

Came three years after BK14 – Sorry for the delay!

# **BK15 95GHz Maps**



BK15 95GHz - noise 5 µK arcmin

# **BK15 150GHz Maps**



BK15 150GHz – noise 2.8 µK arcmin

# **BK15 220GHz Maps**

220 GHz T signal

220 GHz T noise



BK15 220GHz – noise 25 µK arcmin

# Just for fun: Keck 2015 single season E-mode maps



Add to the mix: Planck at 7 frequencies and WMAP at 2 frequencies



Analysis **Technique: Take** all possible autoand cross spectra between the BICEP/Keck, WMAP, and Planck bands (78 of them) and compare to model of CMB +foregrounds



# Spectra BK15+P353



Upper/right plots are EE (black points) Lower/left plots are BB (blue points) 220GHz auto/ cross spectra are all new Red solid line is

best fit multicomponent model from previous (BK14) analysis - It fits all the spectra

Chi-squared is OK - no evidence yet for non-Gaussianity of the dust pattern

100

Multipole

200

# Multicomponent parametric likelihood analysis

Take the joint likelihood of all the spectra simultaneously vs. model for BB that is the ΛCDM lensing expectation + 7 parameter foreground model + r



# **Dust/Sync Spatial Power Laws?**



Fig 2 of arxiv/1801.04945 – Planck dust analysis

Fig 2 of arxiv/1802.01145. – S-PASS sync analysis

- Averaged over large regions of sky it is an empirical fact that dust and sync have roughly power law angular power spectra
- Not enough signal-to-noise in Planck data to investigate fluctuations about this behavior for small sky patches











# Aside: Planck results since 2015 release include BK data





BKP arxiv/1502.00612 r < 0.09



BK14 arxiv/1510.09217 r < 0.07







# Why BK15 came 3 years after BK14...

### Planck 2016

*Planck* intermediate results. L. Evidence for spatial variation of the polarized thermal dust spectral energy distribution and implications for CMB *B*-mode analysis

Planck Collaboration: N. Aghanim<sup>51</sup>, M. Ashdown<sup>61,6</sup>, J. Aumon<sup>51,4</sup>, C. Baccigalupl<sup>74</sup>, M. Ballardini<sup>25,41,44</sup>, A. J. Banday<sup>82,9</sup>, R. B. Barreiro<sup>56</sup>, N. Bartolo<sup>21,5</sup>, S. Basak<sup>74</sup>, K. Benabed<sup>22,81</sup>, J.-P. Bernard<sup>82,9</sup>, M. Bersanell<sup>32,62</sup>, P. Bielewicz<sup>71,524</sup>, A. Bonald<sup>75</sup>, J. E. Borlayera<sup>15</sup>, J. R. Bond<sup>8</sup>, J. Borrill<sup>11,73</sup>, F. R. Boucheg<sup>52,71</sup>, F. Boulanger<sup>14</sup>, A. Bracco<sup>44</sup>, C. Buirgand<sup>15,24,44</sup>, E. Catabrese<sup>79</sup>, J.-F. Cardoso<sup>5,152</sup>, H. C. Chiang<sup>21,71</sup>, L. P. L. Colombo<sup>18,35</sup>, C. Combet<sup>65</sup>, B. Comis<sup>66</sup>, B. P. Crill<sup>83,10</sup>, A. Curto<sup>56,661</sup>, F. Cuttaia<sup>41</sup>, N. Davis<sup>55</sup>, P. de Bernardis<sup>27</sup>, A. de Rosa<sup>41</sup>, G. de Zotti<sup>83,24</sup>, J. Delabrouille<sup>1</sup>, J.-M. Delouis<sup>52,34</sup>, E. Di Valentino<sup>52,77</sup>, C. Dickinson<sup>49</sup>, J. M. Diego<sup>56</sup>, O. Dore<sup>64,10</sup>, M. Douspis<sup>15</sup>, A. Ducou<sup>12,55</sup>, X. Dupac<sup>27</sup>, S. Dusin<sup>77</sup>, G. Efstathiou<sup>61,53</sup>, F. Elsen<sup>41</sup>, S. C. Dickinson<sup>49</sup>, K. Ganga<sup>1</sup>, R. T. Génova-Santos<sup>53,44</sup>, M. Gerbin<sup>50,12,17</sup>, T. Ghosh<sup>15</sup>, M. Giard<sup>22,2</sup>, J. González-Nuevol<sup>15,56</sup>, E. Hivon<sup>52,44</sup>, J. R. Genova-Santos<sup>53,44</sup>, M. Gerbin<sup>50,12,27</sup>, T. Ghosh<sup>15</sup>, M. Giard<sup>22,2</sup>, J. González-Nuevol<sup>15,56</sup>, E. Hivon<sup>52,41</sup>, Z. Huang<sup>6</sup>, A. C. Hagues<sup>14,44</sup>, J. E. Gudmundsso<sup>30,62,524</sup>, J. F. K. Hansen<sup>45</sup>, G. Helou<sup>10</sup>, D. Herrarz<sup>56</sup>, E. Hivon<sup>52,41</sup>, Z. Huang<sup>6</sup>, A. H. Jaffe<sup>50</sup>, W. C. Jones<sup>11</sup>, E. Keihänen<sup>20</sup>, R. Keskitalo<sup>11</sup>, T. S. Kisner<sup>48</sup>, M. Katchmalnicoff<sup>28</sup>, M. Kurz<sup>15,13</sup>, J. K. Krik-Suonio<sup>2007</sup>, G. Lagache<sup>551</sup>, A. Latheenmak<sup>12,77</sup>, J.-M. Lamarre<sup>4</sup>, A. Lastenby<sup>644</sup>, M. Lattan<sup>21,245</sup>, G. Maggio<sup>40</sup>, D. Maino<sup>32,42</sup>, N. Mandolesi<sup>41,25</sup>, A. Malejil<sup>51,62</sup>, M. Marit<sup>62,45</sup>, K. Matrese<sup>24,57,34</sup>, N. Mgliace-Nerze<sup>46</sup>, S. Matarrese<sup>24,57,34</sup>, N. Malino<sup>32,44</sup>, N. Melchiorri<sup>27,46</sup>, A. Menella<sup>23,44</sup>, M. Mgliaco<sup>33,44</sup>, P. Nasalsk<sup>77,24</sup>, K. Kasheens<sup>51,45</sup>, D. Molina<sup>72,44,45</sup>, A. Molchiorri<sup>27,46</sup>, M. Menella<sup>23,45</sup>, M. Matrese<sup>24,57,45</sup>, M. Molina<sup>72,44,5</sup>, A. Melchiorri<sup>27,46</sup>, A. Menella<sup>24,45</sup>, M. Mille<sup>24,55</sup>, J. Pasalsk<sup>77,24</sup>, G. Podelt<sup>34,44</sup>, B. Datel<sup>34,44</sup>, M. B

(Affiliations can be found after the references)

Preprint online version: June 24, 2016

### ABSTRACT

The characterization of the Galactic foregrounds has been shown to be the main obstacle in the challenging quest to detect primordial *B*-modes in the polarized microwave sky. We make use of the *Planck*-HFI 2015 data release at high frequencies to place new constraints on the properties of the polarized thermal dust emission at high Galactic latitudes. Here, we specifically study the spatial variability of the dust polarized spectral energy distribution (SED), and its potential impact on the determination of the tensor-to-scalar ratio, *r*. We use the correlation ratio of the *C*<sup>4</sup><sup>40</sup> angular power spectra between the 217- and 553-GHz channels as a tracer of these potential variations, computed on different high Galactic latitude regions, ranging from 80% to 20% of the sky. The new insight from *Planck* data is a departure of the correlation ratio from unity that cannot be attributed to a spurious decorrelation due to the cosmic microwave background, instrumental noise, or instrumental systematics. The effect is marginally detected on each region, but the statistical combination of all the regions gives more than 99% confidence for this variation in polarized dust properties. In addition, we show that the decorrelation increases when there is a decrease in the mean column density of the region of the sky being considered, and we propose a simple power-law empirical model for this dependence, which matches what is seen in the *Planck* data. We explore the effect that this measured decorrelation has on simulations of the BICIP2-*Acce* Array/*Planck* analysis and show that the 2015 constraints from those data still allow a decorrelation between the dust at 150 and 353 GHz of the order of the one we measure. Finally, using simplified models, we show that either spatial variation of the dust SED or of the dust polarization angle could produce decorrelations between 217- and 353-GHz data similar to those we observe in the data.

A departure of the correlation ratio from unity that cannot be attributed to a spurious decorrelation due to the cosmic microwave background, instrumental noise, or instrumental systematics... **detected at more than 99% confidence** 

### Planck 2018

### *Planck* 2018 results. XI. Polarized dust foregrounds

Planck Collaboration: Y. Akrami<sup>46,48</sup>, M. Ashdown<sup>55,4</sup>, J. Aumont<sup>82</sup>, C. Baccigalupi<sup>49</sup>, M. Balradini<sup>17,32</sup>, A. J. Banday<sup>42,7</sup>, R. B. Barreiro<sup>50</sup>,
 N. Bartolo<sup>22,51</sup>, S. Basak<sup>15</sup>, K. Benabed<sup>41,41</sup>, J.-P. Bernard<sup>12,7</sup>, M. Bersanelli<sup>25,56</sup>, P. Bielewicz<sup>67,169</sup>, J. R. Bond<sup>6</sup>, J. Borrll<sup>10,10</sup>, F. R. Bouchet<sup>44,17</sup>,
 F. Boulange<sup>57,45,44</sup>, A. Bracco<sup>84,45</sup>, M. Bucher<sup>2,5</sup>, C. Burigana<sup>35,23,37</sup>, E. Calabresc<sup>73</sup>, J.-F. Cardoso<sup>44</sup>, J. Carron<sup>18</sup>, H. C. Chiang<sup>20,5</sup>, F. R. Bouchet<sup>44,17</sup>,
 F. Boulange<sup>57,45,44</sup>, A. Bracco<sup>84,45</sup>, M. Bucher<sup>2,5</sup>, C. Burigana<sup>35,23,37</sup>, E. Calabresc<sup>73</sup>, J.-F. Cardoso<sup>44</sup>, J. Carron<sup>18</sup>, H. C. Chiang<sup>20,5</sup>, C. Combet<sup>60</sup>,
 B. P. Crill<sup>52,9</sup>, P. de Bernardi<sup>23,4</sup>, G. de Zotti<sup>31,69</sup>, J. Delabrouille<sup>4</sup>, J. -M. Delouti<sup>44,44</sup>, E. Di Valentino<sup>57</sup>, C. Dickinson<sup>33</sup>, J. M. Diego<sup>50</sup>,
 A. Ducout<sup>44,42</sup>, X. Dupac<sup>28</sup>, G. Efstathiou<sup>55,47</sup>, F. Elsner<sup>64</sup>, T. A. Enßlin<sup>64</sup>, E. Falgarone<sup>56</sup>, Y. Fantaye<sup>31,5</sup>, K. Ferrière<sup>8,2,7</sup>, F. Finelli<sup>32,37</sup>,
 F. Forastier<sup>12,338</sup>, M. Frailis<sup>84</sup>, A. A. Fraiss<sup>20,3</sup>, E. Francesch<sup>12,5</sup>, A. Frolov<sup>76</sup>, S. Galetot<sup>14,45</sup>, S. M. Handley<sup>55,4</sup>, F. K. Hansen<sup>48</sup>,
 D. Herranz<sup>69</sup>, Z. Huang<sup>74</sup>, A. H. Jaffe<sup>42</sup>, W. C. Jones<sup>30,3</sup>, E. Keihänen<sup>19</sup>, R. Keskitalo<sup>10</sup>, K. Kiiveri<sup>19,31</sup>, J. Kim<sup>64</sup>, N. Krachmalnicoff<sup>19</sup>,
 M. Kunz<sup>11,43,3</sup>, H. Kurki-Suonio<sup>13,31</sup>, J.-M. Lamarre<sup>56</sup>, A. Lasenby<sup>45,5</sup>, M. Le Jeune<sup>2</sup>, F. Levrier<sup>56</sup>, M. Liguori<sup>12,21</sup>, P. B. Lilj<sup>64</sup>, V. Lindholm<sup>13,31</sup>,
 M. López-Caniego<sup>28</sup>, P. M. Lubin<sup>21</sup>, Y.-Z. Ma<sup>53,71,66</sup>, J. F. Macias-Pérze<sup>40</sup>, G. Maggio<sup>34</sup>, D. Maic<sup>35,56,90</sup>, N. Mandolesi<sup>32,23</sup>, A. Mangill<sup>77</sup>,
 P. Garentin<sup>12,40</sup>, G. Polenta<sup>81,31,21,44</sup>, J. P. Rachen<sup>14</sup>, M. Reincek<sup>64</sup>, M. Reinzek<sup>64</sup>, M. Remizelles<sup>53</sup>, J. A. Rubiño-Martif<sup>41,52</sup>, B. Kulaiz-<sup>52,54</sup>, J. J. D. McEiven<sup>65,27</sup>, G. Morgante<sup>22</sup>, P. Natoli<sup>23,78,48</sup>, L. Pagano<sup>43,56</sup>, D. Paoletti<sup>32,32</sup>, T. Tormbeti<sup>32,55,5</sup>, T. T

(Affiliations can be found after the references)

Preprint online version: July 19, 2018

### ABSTRACT

The study of polarized dust emission has become entwined with the analysis of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) polarization in the quest for the curl-like B-mode polarization from primordial gravitational waves and the low-multipole E-mode polarization associated with the reionization of the Universe. We use the new Planck PR3 maps to characterize Galactic dust emission at high latitudes as a foreground to the CMB polarization and use end-to-end simulations to compute uncertainties and assess the statistical significance of our measurements. We present Planck EE, BB, and TE power spectra of dust polarization at 353 GHz for a set of six nested high-Galactic-latitude sky regions covering from 24 to 71 % of the sky. We present power-law fits to the angular power spectra, yielding evidence for statistically significant variations of the exponents over sky regions and a difference between the values for the EE and BB spectra, which for the largest sky region are  $\alpha_{FF} = -2.42 \pm 0.02$ and  $\alpha_{BB} = -2.54 \pm 0.02$ , respectively. The spectra show that the TE correlation and E/B power asymmetry discovered by Planck extend to low multipoles that were not included in earlier Planck polarization papers due to residual data systematics. We also report evidence for a positive TB dust signal. Combining data from Planck and WMAP, we determine the amplitudes and spectral energy distributions (SEDs) of polarized foregrounds, including the correlation between dust and synchrotron polarized emission, for the six sky regions as a function of multipole. This quantifies the challenge of the component-separation procedure that is required for measuring the low-l reionization CMB E-mode signal and detecting the reionization and recombination peaks of primordial CMB B modes. The SED of polarized dust emission is fit well by a singletemperature modified blackbody emission law from 353 GHz to below 70 GHz. For a dust temperature of 19.6 K, the mean dust spectral index for dust polarization is  $\beta_a^p = 1.53 \pm 0.02$ . The difference between indices for polarization and total intensity is  $\beta_a^p - \beta_a^l = 0.05 \pm 0.03$ . By fitting multi-frequency cross-spectra between Planck data at 100, 143, 217, and 353 GHz, we examine the correlation of the dust polarization maps across frequency. We find no evidence for a loss of correlation and provide lower limits to the correlation ratio that are tighter than values we derive from the correlation of the 217- and 353-GHz maps alone. If the Planck limit on decorrelation for the largest sky region applies to the smaller sky regions observed by sub-orbital experiments, then frequency decorrelation of dust polarization might not be a problem for CMB experiments aiming at a primordial B-mode detection limit on the tensor-to-scalar ratio  $r \approx 0.01$  at the recombination peak. However, the Planck sensitivity precludes identifying how difficult the component-separation problem will be for more ambitious experiments targeting lower limits on r.

We find no evidence for a loss of correlation. ... might not be a problem for CMB experiments aiming at a primordial B-mode detection limit on the tensor-to-scalar ratio  $r \sim 0.01...$ 

arxiv/1606.07335

### arxiv/1801.04945

# ... Evolving Planck Dust Analysis





– 505 Degrees on sky

–505 Degrees on sky

10 5 Degrees on sky

-10 -5

0

-10 -5

–5 0 5 10 Degrees on sky

# 2016 onwards: BICEP3 "Super receiver" All 95 GHz

2560 detectors in modular focal plane

Larger-aperture optics

# > 10x optical throughput of single BICEP2/Keck receiver

Means larger field of view and lower noise faster



# Larger receiver = more sky area



(Increased area, angularresolution and sensitivity)

# **Next Gen Experiment BICEP Array Under Construction**



# **Right Now Assembling New Telescope at UMN**





# **New Telescope Moving**











gravitational waves

# **Polarization Constraints on Inflation to Date**



State of B-mode polarization power spectra in 2018

Multipole

# **Cosmological origins of anisotropic polarization rotation**



The BICEP/Keck Collaboration

### • Axion-like particles

String theory generally predicts presence of axion-like particles coupled with electromagnetic fields (e.g. Pospelov+'09, Caldwell+'11)

Lagrangian  $\supset \frac{\phi}{2f_a} F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$ 

Coupling constant

This coupling leads to spatially varying polarization angle rotation

rotation angle  $\longrightarrow \alpha(n) = \frac{\Delta \phi(n)}{f_a}$  Changes in phi during photon propagation

### • Primordial magnetic fields

lead to polarization rotation by Faraday rotation

Total rotation angle (e.g. Kosowsky&Loeb'96, Harari+'97)  $3c^2$ 

$$\alpha(n) = \frac{3c}{16\pi e^2} \nu^{-2} \int \dot{\tau} \, \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{l}$$
Magnetic field

Measurements of anisotropic polarization rotation are a unique probe of the early universe and provide important implications for high energy physics!

# Measurement of the polarization rotation spectrum

### Analysis Method

Anisotropic pol. rotation leads to mode-coupling between E and B modes (like lensing). Thus we can apply the same analysis method as for lensing reconstruction but using a different weight function to optimally reconstruct the rotation angle map



### • Measured spectrum

- The spectrum is consistent with null (even if we change the analysis choices)
- The reconstructed spectra measured from our 14 jackknife maps are also consistent with null
- Instrumental relative pol. rotation < 1% of the 1 sigma statistical error

### The BICEP/Keck Collaboration

# Comparison w/ previous work & cosmological implications

Improved constraints on inflationary pol. rotation spectrum



If sources of pol. rotation are originated from inflation, the expected rotation spectrum has the following scale-invariant shape

$$\frac{L(L+1)}{2\pi}C_L^{\alpha\alpha} = A_{CB} \times 10^{-4}$$

Compared to previous attempts, we improve the constraints on this inflationary rotation spectrum by an order of magnitude.

 $A_{\rm CB}~\leq~0.33$  at 95%

### From arxiv 1705.02523

Implications

The above results lead to constraints on

1) Coupling constant of the Chern-Simons term

$$f_a \ge 1.7 imes 10^2 rac{H_I}{2\pi}$$

an order of magnitude better than Pospelov et al. (2009) PRL

2) Strength of the scale-invariant PMF smoothed over 1Mpc

 $B_{1Mpc} \leq 30$ nG.

### The BICEP/Keck Collaboration

# Conclusions

- BICEP/Keck lead the field in the quest to detect or set limits on inflationary gravitational waves:
- > BK15 result sets  $r_{0.05}$ <0.06 and  $\sigma$ (r)=0.020
- BICEP3 is running since 2016 with high sensitivity at 95GHz, and Keck Array continues to run at 220GHz, plus new 270GHz band
- > We intend to go straight to BK18 analysis which will approach  $\sigma(r)=0.010$
- > BICEP Array is under construction and will go much further:
- Next gen. receivers in five bands
- Delensing in conjunction with SPT3G under development
- > Projecting BK23  $\sigma(r) < 0.003$  around 2025
- > And beyond that is mega experiment CMB-S4...
- Foreground complexity is and will remain a serious issue the hope is that we can measure it and constrain r simultaneously without a large loss of sensitivity. Time will tell.